

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/364,370	Applicant(s) CHEUNG, TOM THUAN	
	Examiner Andy Ho	Art Unit 2194	

All Participants:

(1) Andy Ho.

(2) Ruthleen E. Uy, Reg. No. 51,361.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 22 January 2007
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 11 and 21

Prior art documents discussed:


6,710,786

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


WILLIAM THOMSON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner advised the applicant that the claims are in condition for allowance with the condition of adding specific limitations into claims 1, 11, 21 and correcting 101 issue in claim 21. The applicant agreed with the suggestion and authorized the examiner to make the changes in an examiner's amendment.